## [NO MINUTES WERE GENERATED FOR FRIDAY, JUNE 10, 2011.]

1155

### SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, JUNE 13, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S176923 G036560 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. TRAN (QUANG MINH)

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

The Judgment is affirmed.

Majority Opinion by Werdegar, J.

- -- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Chin, Corrigan, and Blease\*, JJ.
- \* Associate Justice of the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, assigned by the Chief Justice pursuant to article VI, section 6 of the California Constitution.

S022998

PEOPLE v. TOWNSEL (ANTHONY LETRICE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy Attorney General Louis M. Vasquez's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by August 31, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 31, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S070250

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (MICHAEL RAYMOND)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Anthony J. Dain's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by August 8, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 8, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S079925

PEOPLE v. MORA (JOSEPH ADAM) & RANGEL (RUBEN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Tara K. Hoveland's representation that she anticipates filing appellant Ruben Rangel's reply brief by October 15, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 15, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

#### S079925

PEOPLE v. MORA (JOSEPH ADAM) & RANGEL (RUBEN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Peter R. Silten's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Joseph Adam Mora's reply brief by January 15, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 15, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated.

S084996

PEOPLE v. CHHOUN (RUN PETER)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Chief Deputy State Public Defender Barry P. Helft's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 14, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 15, 2011. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 330 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S087533

PEOPLE v. POPS (ASWAD) & WILSON (BYRON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Joseph E. Chabot's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Byron Wilson's opening brief by December 7, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 5, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S087560

PEOPLE v. NADEY, JR., (GILES ALBERT)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Christopher Johns's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 8, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the

anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

#### S095868

PEOPLE v. DANIELS (DAVID SCOTT)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Gail Weinheimer's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by August 15, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 1, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 15 additional days is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S103358

PEOPLE v. BARRERA (MARCO ESQUIVEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file the appellant's opening brief is granted to August 1, 2011. The court anticipates that after that date, only four further extensions totaling about 240 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her supervising attorney, if any, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S105403

PEOPLE v. CHHOUN (RUN PETER) & PAN (SAMRETH SAM)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant Run Peter Chhoun and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 15, 2011.

S105876

PEOPLE v. SUAREZ (ARTURO JUAREZ)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lisa R. Short's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 8, 2011. After that date, only eight further extensions totaling about 480 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S107653

PEOPLE v. CRAWFORD (CHARLES EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David Joseph Macher's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by the first week of January 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 8, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S110804

PEOPLE v. ACREMANT (ROBERT JAMES)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 15, 2011.

S130659

PEOPLE v. ARMSTRONG (CRAIGEN LEWIS)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Patricia A. Scott's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 5, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 8, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S138474

PEOPLE v. ANDERSON (ERIC STEVE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 8, 2011.

S188982

B217982 Second Appellate District, Div. 1

C.A. v. WILLIAM S. HART UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief is extended to July 15, 2011.

S189856 D055698 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. GONZALEZ (PERLA ISABEL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to July 13, 2011.

No further extensions of time are contemplated.

#### S190968

BURNEY (SHAUN KAREEM) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Tami Falkenstein Hennick's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by September 9, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to August 8, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S191400 D055671 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. MANZO (MARTIN)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to July 17, 2011.

Extension of time granted

S192958

ELLIOTT (MARCHAND) ON H.C.

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to August 8, 2011.

S192060 CALL ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that JOHN RICHARD CALL, State Bar Number 166415, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

JOHN RICHARD CALL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S192061

#### **CAMPBELL ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MEL WAYNE CAMPBELL, State Bar Number 158764, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. MEL WAYNE CAMPBELL must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S192063

#### DOAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that THINH VAN DOAN, State Bar Number 152589, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. THINH VAN DOAN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 90 days of probation;
- 2. THINH VAN DOAN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on February 7, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if THINH VAN DOAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

THINH VAN DOAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

THINH VAN DOAN must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S192064

#### FRANK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DEIRDRE BARKLEY FRANK, State Bar Number 81526, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

1. DEIRDRE BARKLEY FRANK must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 18, 2011; and

2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DEIRDRE BARKLEY FRANK has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

DEIRDRE BARKLEY FRANK must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If DEIRDRE BARKLEY FRANK fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### S192065

#### GEORGE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ROBERT DUANE GEORGE, State Bar Number 185306, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. ROBERT DUANE GEORGE must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S192067

#### **HERTZ ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEVEN HOWARD HERTZ, State Bar Number 153971, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STEVEN HOWARD HERTZ is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. STEVEN HOWARD HERTZ must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 14, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEVEN HOWARD HERTZ has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEVEN HOWARD HERTZ must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in

suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012, 2013, and 2014. If STEVEN HOWARD HERTZ fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### S192076

#### LAUGHLIN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that GREGG STEVEN LAUGHLIN, State Bar Number 193567, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. GREGG STEVEN LAUGHLIN is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation (with credit given for the period of interim suspension which commenced on November 22, 2010);
- 2. GREGG STEVEN LAUGHLIN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 16, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if GREGG STEVEN LAUGHLIN has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with membership fees for each of the years 2013 and 2014. If GREGG STEVEN LAUGHLIN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### S192078

#### LEVIN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that WILLIAM EDWARD LEVIN, State Bar Number 104631, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. WILLIAM EDWARD LEVIN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. WILLIAM EDWARD LEVIN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on February 16, 2011; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if WILLIAM EDWARD LEVIN has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied

and that suspension will be terminated.

WILLIAM EDWARD LEVIN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2012 and 2013. If WILLIAM EDWARD LEVIN fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

#### S192079

#### McNAIR ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ROBERT JOHN McNAIR, State Bar Number 147939, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

ROBERT JOHN McNAIR must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on February 2, 2011. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

ROBERT JOHN McNAIR must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

#### S192080

#### **VanZANDT ON DISCIPLINE**

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that PATIENCE NOONEY VanZANDT, State Bar Number 179151, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. PATIENCE NOONEY VanZANDT must also comply with California Rules of Court, rule 9.20, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

# BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 980)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)

TRANSFER ORDERS

The following matters, now pending in the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, are transferred from Division Two to Division One:

Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

1.	E051179	People v. Alfredo Vallejo
2.	E051357	People v. David Aguilera
3.	E050154	People v. Thellas Sanders III
4.	E051407	People v. Kevin Reed
5.	E051934	People v. Charles Neely, Jr.